The grahation is denied. The sentence ated by defendant	
is a possing reference by two Commissioned Duane Morris.	FIRM and AFFILIATE OFFICES
of a much larger desension of white new regulations of an overall restrictioning of themones	NEW YORK LONDON SINGAPORE PHILADELPHIA
JOHN DELLAPORTAS market fund endistry. The two Commissioners de DIRECT DIAL: 212.692.1012 E-MAIL: DELLAJO@DUANEMORRIS.COM not Nead, and do not perper to Theath,	CHICAGO WASHINGTON, DC SAN FRANCISCO
www.duanemorris.com for the Commercia, con while house Belowling	SAN DIEGO BOSTON HOUSTON
September 27, 2012 to demonstrate the obvious four that the Chase	LOS ANGELES HANOI HO CHI MINH CITY
Hon. Paul G. Gardephe Wia E-mail (MICHAEL_HERMAN@nysd.uscourts.gov) Hon. Paul G. Gardephe Hon. Paul G. Gardephe	ATLANTA BALTIMORE WILMINGTON
Hon. Paul G. Gardephe United States District Court for the of a furnish curiod lustric presenting	MIAMI PITTSBURGH
Constitution Thinks to Chronic North	NEWARK LAS VEGAS
Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street SO ORDERED:	CHERRY HILL
New York, NY 10007	BOCA RATON LAKE TAHOE
Re: SEC v. Reserve Management Co., In Part Co Gardephe, U.S.D.J.	MEXICO CITY ALLIANCE WITH
Dear Judge Gardephe: 09ev 4346 (056) Detail Egyx. 28, 20(2	MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO

On behalf of defendants Reserve Management Company, Inc. (RMCI), Resrv Partners, Inc., Bruce Bent Sr. and Bruce Bent II, (together, "Defendants"), we respectfully write to request reconsideration of the Court's bench ruling that trial exhibit DX-312 is untimely.

DX-312 (annexed hereto) is an August 28, 2012 statement by two SEC Commissioners, Daniel M. Gallagher and Troy A. Paredes, which states, inter alia, that "the Reserve Primary Fund did not 'break the buck' in a vacuum, but rather in the midst of a financial crisis of historic proportions." (Emphasis added.) Commissioner Paredes was appointed in August 2008 – a month before the event he describes. As previously articulated to the Court, Defendants believe that this sort of contextual evidence is highly relevant to the scienter issue.

Further, DX-312 is admissible under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(D) ("a statement is not hearsay when made by the party's agent or servant concerning a matter within the scope of the agency or employment, and during the existence of the relationship"). That DX-312 is made by only two of the five SEC Commissioners does lessen its admissibility. Under Rule 801(d)(2)(D), as construed by the Second Circuit, "the declarant need not be the 'final decision maker' ... for his statement ... to be deemed within the scope of his agency. Rather, he need only be an advisor or other significant participant in the decision-making process that is the subject matter of the statement." United States v. Rioux, 97 F.3d 648, 661 (2d Cir. 1996).

See also, e.g., Schafer v. Brown v. Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, 2008
U.S. App. Lexis 351, **12, n.7 (11th Cir. 2008) (statement by chairman of committee of transit authority held to be party admission); Larch v. Mansfield Mun. Elec. Dept. 272
F.3d 63, 72 (1st Cir. 2001) (statements by commissioner of municipal electric department held to be party admissions).

DUANE MORRIS LLP

DOCUMENT PHONE: +1 212 692 1000 FAX: +1 212 692 1020

H. ECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC#: DATE FILED: 9/28/12

Hon. Paul G. Gardephe September 27, 2012 Page 2 **Duane** Morris

We acknowledge that this trial exhibit was served after the June 11, 2012 deadline for the submission of the Joint Pretrial Order. However, that was only because the document did not yet exist. We respectfully submit that the addition of this one document to defendants' trial exhibit list, almost three weeks in advance of trial (DX-312 was provided to the SEC on September 19), would not unduly prejudice the SEC, particularly given that it was pulled from the SEC's own web site. The exhibit would, moreover, help Defendants explain the unprecedented context of the events in question, which is directly relevant to scienter.

Accordingly, Defendants request permission to introduce DX-312 at trial. If admitted, the exhibit would be used solely with respect to the above-quoted language about the Reserve Primary Fund, and not for any other purpose.

Respectfully,

John Dellaportas

cc: Nancy Brown, Esq. (by e-mail) Fran M. Jacobs, Esq. (by e-mail)